	Cas@Ns073:0077011612071-8127-5DocDomocente5216528	Fileed 003/225/02008 ag & ag & a of 4	
1 2 3	Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607) LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEII 275 Battery Street, 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000	N, LLP	
4	Facsimile: (415) 956-1008		
5	Bruce L. Simon (State Bar No. 96241) PEARSON, SIMON, SOTER, WARSHAW & PENNY, LLP		
6 7	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-9000 Facsimile: (415) 433-9008		
8	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12 13	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. M:07-1827 SI MDL No. 1827	
14	This Document Relates To:	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
15	ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	ORDER FOR CONTINUANCE OF HEARING AND MODIFICATION OF BRIEFING SCHEDULE	
16 17			
18			
19	STIPULATION		
20	WHEREAS:		
21	1. Defendant Tatung Company of America ("Tatung") has filed a motion		
22	pursuant to Rule 12(b)(1) and (6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to dismiss the Direct		
23	Purchaser Plaintiffs' Consolidated Amended Complaint (the "Complaint"), scheduled for hearing		
24	before the Honorable Susan Illston on April 30, 2008, at 2:00 p.m. in accordance with Pretrial		
25	Order No. 5.		
26	2. After conferring, Plaintiffs and Tatung agree that Plaintiffs should have a		
27	reasonable opportunity to conduct limited jurisdictional discovery for the purpose of opposing		
28	Tatung's motion pursuant to Rule 12(b)(1).		
	754431.1	STIPULATION RE PROTOCOL FOR DISCOVERY	

3. Allowing time for Plaintiffs to conduct the contemplated discovery makes it necessary to continue the hearing of Tatung's motion and the briefing schedule set forth in Pretrial Order No. 5.

- 4. To accommodate the jurisdictional discovery, Plaintiffs and Tatung have agreed to seek a continuance of the scheduled motion hearing and related briefing.
- 5. Plaintiffs and Tatung will conduct discovery consistent with the Court's Order of September 25, 2007, staying most discovery. Plaintiffs believe it may be possible that discovery beyond the scope of that order will be required to oppose Tatung's motion. If so, Plaintiffs will raise this issue with the Court on notice to all parties and the Department of Justice.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Plaintiffs and Tatung, by and through their undersigned counsel, subject to the Court's approval, as follows:

- 1. Pretrial Order No. 5 shall be modified as follows:
 - (a) Plaintiffs shall serve and file their opposition to Tatung's motion to dismiss within 120 days after entry of an Order approving this Stipulation, or by any subsequent date that may be ordered by the Court;
 - (b) Tatung shall serve and file any reply no later than 21 days after

 Plaintiffs have served and filed their opposition; and
 - (c) The hearing on Tatung's motion to dismiss, now scheduled for April 30, 2008, at 2:00 p.m., shall be continued to the earliest date available on the Court's calendar that will accommodate the briefing schedule in place pursuant to this Stipulation and will comply with the Court's Local Rule 7-3(c), which requires Tatung's reply to be filed at least 14 days before the hearing.
- 2. Plaintiffs and Tatung shall each make a good-faith effort to accomplish the jurisdictional discovery contemplated by this Stipulation in time to comply with the proposed briefing schedule. Should it become apparent to either Plaintiffs or Tatung that the jurisdictional discovery contemplated by this Stipulation will not be completed in time to comply with the

modified briefing schedule set forth in this Stipulation, then either party may move the Court for a 1 2 reasonable continuance of the briefing schedule and a corresponding continuance of the hearing 3 on Tatung's motion to dismiss. 4 3. Plaintiffs and Tatung fully reserve all of their claims, defenses and rights in 5 the above-captioned litigation. It is so stipulated and requested. 6 7 Dated: March 25, 2008 LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 8 /s/ Richard M. Heimann By: 9 Richard M. Heimann 10 Embarcadero Center West, 30th Floor 275 Battery Street 11 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 12 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 13 Bruce L. Simon (State Bar No. 096241) PEARSON, SIMON, SOTER, WARSHAW & 14 PENNY, LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200 15 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-9000 16 Facsimile: (415) 433-9008 17 Interim Co-Lead Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs 18 BAKER & McKENZIE LLP Dated: March 25, 2008 19 By: /s/ Patrick J. Ahern 20 Patrick J. Ahern 21 One Prudential Plaza 130 East Randolph Drive 22 Chicago, IL 60601 Telephone: (312) 861-8000 23 Facsimile: (312) 861-2899 24 Counsel for Defendant Tatung Company of America 25 Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the 26 filing of this document has been obtained from Richard M. Heimann and Patrick J. Ahern. 27 28

Cas@N5073:07911612718127-5DocDuncemte5216528 Fileed1033/225/22808 age? 8 of 4

||Cas@Note173:007-011612071-827-500-00000cente326528 ||Filtend 0033/2257/28008 ag @ 4 gref 4 of 4

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	SO ORDERED this day of, 2008.
3	Susan Maton
4	HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge
5	
6	Motion #470 has been continued to Friday, September 5, 2008, at 9:00 a.m.
7	
8	
9	
10	
1	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	